	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,425	SEO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Oren</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2006	Time: <u>2:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-9, 11-14, 18-21 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPF Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	nnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend claims 1-9, 11-14, 18-21 and 23 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary in order to improve the claim language so as to be consistent with the specification and the drawing so as to place the application in better form for allowance.